

# A note on $L^1$ -bounded martingales

By Toshitada SHINTANI\*

(Received October 28, 1991)

## Abstract

Let  $f$  be a martingale. If  $f$  is  $L^1$ -bounded then  $f$  is of bounded variation. Using this the martingale transform  $g$  of  $f$  converges a. e..

Let  $(\Omega, A, P)$  be a probability space and  $A_0, A_1, \dots$  a nondecreasing sequences of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $A$ . Let  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$  be a martingale with norm  $\|f\|_1 = \sup_n E|f_n| < \infty$ . Let  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$  be a predictable sequence, that is,  $v_k: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $A_k$ -measurable,  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$ , defined by  $g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k (f_{k+1} - f_k)$  with  $|v| \leq 1$  in absolute value, is the transform of the martingale  $f$  by  $v$ . Write  $\|f\|_p = \sup_n \|f_n\|_p$  and define the maximal function of  $g$  by  $g^*(\omega) = \sup_n |g_n(\omega)|$ .

**THEOREM 1.** If  $\|f\|_1 < \infty$  then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1} - f_n| < \infty$  a. e., that is,  $f$  is of bounded variation.

**PROOF.** Since  $f$  is  $L^1$ -bounded  $f_n \rightarrow f_\infty$  a. e. in  $L^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(\omega) - f_\infty(\omega)|.$$

Let  $a_n(\omega) = |f_n(\omega) - f_\infty(\omega)|$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(\omega) = 0$ .

Thus, for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists a number  $N = N(\varepsilon, \omega) > 0$  such that  $0 \leq a_n(\omega) < \varepsilon < 1$  ( $\forall n \geq N$ ). So  $0 \leq \sqrt[n]{a_n(\omega)} < 1$  ( $\forall n \geq N$ ).

Let  $x_n(\omega) = \sqrt[n]{a_n(\omega)}$  and  $S = \{x_1(\omega), x_2(\omega), x_3(\omega), \dots\}$ .

Since  $S$  is a bounded infinite set of real numbers in general, by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem,  $S$  has the accumulation point  $\lambda = \lambda(\omega) \geq 0$  and  $\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) < 1$ .

In fact, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = \lambda = 1$  then, for  $0 \leq \forall \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists a number  $N = N(\varepsilon, \omega) > 0$  such that  $|\sqrt[n]{a_n(\omega)} - 1| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . So  $a_n(\omega) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^n \geq 0$  ( $\forall n \geq N$ ).

Let  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  so  $n \uparrow \infty$  then  $a_\infty(\omega) \geq 1^\infty \geq 0$ .

Thus it is not that  $a_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This contradicts to the fact that  $a_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\lambda \neq 1$ .

So, by Cauchy's result,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\omega)$  converges for almost all  $\omega$ . Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1} - f_n| < \infty$  a. e.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $\|f\|_1 < \infty$  then the martingale transform  $g$  converges a. e..

In fact,

$|g_\infty(\omega)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |v_n(\omega)| \cdot |f_{n+1}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| < \infty$  for almost all  $\omega$ .

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then

$$\lambda \cdot P(g^* > \lambda) \leq c \cdot \|f\|_1, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad \text{and } \|g\|_p \leq c_p \cdot \|f\|_p \text{ hold.}$$

**PROOF.** By a result of Burkholder (THEOREM 1.1 of [2]), the following statements, each to hold for all such  $f$  and  $g$ , are equivalent:

$$\|f\|_1 < \infty \Leftrightarrow g \text{ converges a. e.,}$$

$$\lambda \cdot P(g^* > \lambda) \leq c \cdot \|f\|_1, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

\* 数学, 一般教科助教授

$$\|g\|_p \leq c_p \cdot \|f\|_p.$$

Combine this result with THEOREM 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BURKHOLDER, D. L. (1966). Martingale transforms. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37** 1494–1504
- [2] BURKHOLDER, D. L. (1981). A geometric characterization of Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional. *Ann. Probability* **9** 997–1011.
- [3] BURKHOLDER, D. L. AND SHINTANI, T. (1978). Approximation of  $L^1$ -bounded martingales by martingales of bounded variation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** 166–169.
- [4] BURKHOLDER, D. L. (1991). Personal Communication
- [5] SHINTANI, T. (1981). A proof of the Burkholder theorem for martingale transforms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** 278–279.

Department of Mathematics, Tomakomai National College of Technology, Tomakomai, Hokkaido 059-12, Japan