

A note on L^1 -bounded martingales. II

By Toshitada SHINTANI *

(Received November 25, 1992)

Abstract.

Let f be an X -valued martingale when a Banach space X has the Radon-Nikodým Property. If f is L_X^1 -bounded then f is of bounded variation. Using this the martingale transform g of f converges a. e. in X .

1. Notations.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space and $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots$ a nondecreasing sequences of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{A} . Let X be a Banach space with norm $|\cdot|$ and the Radon-Nikodým property. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ be an X -valued martingale with norm $\|f\|_1 = \sup_n E |f_n| < \infty$. Let $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$ be a real-valued predictable sequence, that is, $v_k: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{A}_k measurable, $k \geq 1$. Then $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$, defined by $g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k (f_{k+1} - f_k)$ with $|v| \leq 1$ in absolute value, is the transform of the martingale f by v . Write $\|f\|_p = \sup_n \|f_n\|_p$ and define the maximal function g^* of g by $g^*(\omega) = \sup_n |g_n(\omega)|$.

2. Results and the proofs.

Theorem 1. If $\|f\|_1 < \infty$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1} - f_n| < \infty$ a. e., that is, f is of bounded variation.

Proof. By Chatterji's result, $f_n \rightarrow f_{\infty}$ a. e. in L_X^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(\omega) - f_{\infty}(\omega)|.$$

Let $a_n(\omega) = |f_n(\omega) - f_{\infty}(\omega)|$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(\omega) = 0$.

Thus, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists a number $N = N(\varepsilon, \omega) > 0$ such that $0 \leq a_n(\omega) < \varepsilon < 1$ ($\forall n \geq N$).

$S_0: 0 \leq \sqrt[n]{a_n(\omega)} < 1$ ($\forall n \geq N$). Let $x_n(\omega) = \sqrt[n]{a_n(\omega)}$ and $S = \{x_1(\omega), x_2(\omega), x_3(\omega), \dots\}$.

Since S is a bounded infinite set of real numbers in general, by the Bolzano-Weierstrass' theorem, S has the accumulation point $\lambda = \lambda(\omega) \geq 0$ and $\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) < 1$.

In fact, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\omega) = \lambda = 1$ then, for $0 \leq \forall \varepsilon < 1$, there exists a number $N = N(\varepsilon, \omega) > 0$ such that $|\sqrt[n]{a_n(\omega)} - 1| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. So $0 \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^n \leq a_n(\omega) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^n$ ($\forall n \geq N$).

If ε does not near to 0 then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n(\omega)} = 1$ does not hold so let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ then N is nondecreasing and $N = N(0, \omega)$ is finite or infinite.

Since for $\varepsilon = 0$ the above inequality holds for all $n \geq N$ when $N = N(0, \omega) \leq \infty$, so

* 数学, 一般教科助教授

$$a_{\infty}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1^n = 1 \neq 0, \text{ if } N < \infty, \\ = 1^{\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1^n = 1 \neq 0, \text{ if } N = \infty.$$

Thus it is not that $a_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts to the fact that $a_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lambda \neq 1$.

So, by Cauchy's result, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\omega)$ converges for almost all ω . Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1} - f_n| < \infty$ a. e..

Theorem 2. If $\|f\|_1 < \infty$ then the martingale transform g converges a. e. in X .

In fact,

$$|g_{\infty}(\omega)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |v_n(\omega)| \cdot |f_{n+1}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{n+1}(\omega) - f_n(\omega)| < \infty$$

for almost all ω .

Corollary. Let $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$ be a sequence of any random variables with $|v| \leq 1$.

Then $h_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k (f_{k+1} - f_k)$ converges a. e..

Theorem 3. Let $1 < p < \infty$. For a Banach space X with the Radon-Nikodým property,

$\lambda \cdot P(g^* > \lambda) \leq c \cdot \|f\|_1$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\|g\|_p \leq c_p \cdot \|f\|_p$ hold.

Proof. For any Banach space X , by a result of Burkholder (Theorem 1.1 of [2]), the following statements, each to hold for all such f and g , are equivalent:

$$\|f\|_1 < \infty \Rightarrow g \text{ converges a. e.,}$$

$$\lambda \cdot P(g^* > \lambda) \leq c \cdot \|f\|_1, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

$$\|g\|_p \leq c_p \cdot \|f\|_p.$$

Combine this result with Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to professor D. L. Burkholder for his kindly discussions in details.

References

- [1] D. L. Burkholder: Martingale transforms. Ann. Math. Statist., **37**, 1494-1504 (1966).
- [2] ———: A Geometric characterization of Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional. Ann. Probability, **9**, 997-1011 (1981).
- [3] D. L. Burkholder and T. Shintani: Approximation of L^1 -bounded martingales by martingales of bounded variation. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72**, 166-169 (1978).
- [4] S. D. Chatterji: Martingale convergence and the Radon-Nikodým theorem in Banach Spaces. Math. Scand., **22**, 21-41 (1968).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOMAKOMAI NATIONAL COLLEGE
OF TECHNOLOGY
TOMAKOMAI, HOKKAIDO 059-12
JAPAN